

THE LAW GUARANTEE AND TRUST SOCIETY, LIMITED,

SUBSCRIBED CAPITAL - £1,000,000. PAID-UP - £100,000.
RESERVE FUND - £115,000.

FIDELITY GUARANTEES OF ALL KINDS. ADMINISTRATION AND LUNACY
BONDS. MORTGAGE, DEBENTURE, LICENSE, AND CONTINGENCY
INSURANCE. TRUSTEESHIPS FOR DEBENTURE-HOLDERS, &c.

HEAD OFFICE: 49, Chancery-lane, W.C. | CITY OFFICE: 56, Moorgate-street, E.C.

IMPORTANT TO SOLICITORS

In Drawing LEASES or MORTGAGES of LICENSED PROPERTY

To see that the Insurance Covenants include a policy covering the risk of
LOSS OR FORFEITURE OF THE LICENSE.

Suitable clauses, settled by Counsel, can be obtained on application to
THE LICENSES INSURANCE CORPORATION AND
GUARANTEE FUND, LIMITED,
24, MOORGATE STREET, LONDON, E.C.
*Mortgages Guaranteed on Licensed Properties promptly, without
special valuation and at low rates.*

LEGAL AND GENERAL LIFE ASSURANCE SOCIETY.

ESTABLISHED 1836.

FUNDS	-	-	-	-	£ 3,000,000
INCOME	-	-	-	-	£ 390,000
YEARLY BUSINESS	-	-	-	-	£ 1,000,000
BUSINESS IN FORCE	-	-	-	-	£ 11,700,000

THE PERFECTED SYSTEM of Life Assurance is peculiar to this Society
and embraces every modern advantage.

PERFECTED MAXIMUM POLICIES.

WITHOUT PROFITS.

The Rates for these Whole Life Policies are very moderate.

Age	Premium	Age	Premium	Age	Premium
20	£1 7 8 %	30	£1 16 %	40	£2 10 %

£1,000 POLICY WITH BONUSES

According to last results.

Valuation at 2½ p.c. :- Hm. Table of Mortality.

Duration	10 yrs.	20 yrs.	30 yrs.	40 yrs.
Amount of Policy	£1,199	£1,438	£1,724	£2,067

Next Bonus as at 31st December, 1901.

OFFICES: 10, FLEET STREET, LONDON.

VOL. XLV., No. 43.

The Solicitors' Journal and Reporter.

LONDON, AUGUST 24, 1901.

* The Editor cannot undertake to return rejected contributions, and
copies should be kept of all articles sent by writers who are not on
the regular staff of the JOURNAL.

Contents.

CURRENT TOPICS	743	LEGAL NEWS	748
SOME COUNTY COURT STATISTICS	745	WINDING UP NOTICES	749
SURRENDER OF SHARES	745	CREDITORS' NOTICES	749
NEW ORDERS, &c.	747	BANKRUPTCY NOTICES	749

Cases Reported this Week.

In the Solicitors' Journal.

Pearks & Co. (Appellants) v. Knight
(Respondent). Same (Appellants) v.
Van Tromp (Respondent) 744
Phillips v. Godfrey 746

In the Weekly Reporter.

Farquharson Brothers & Co. v. King &
Co. 673

Fullers (Limited) (Appellants) v. Squire
(Respondent) 683
Lee v. Bent. Barlow v. Noblett 684
London County Council v. Attorney-
General 686
Power, In re. In re Stone. Asworth
v. Stone 675
Power v. Banks 679
Southwell (Surveyor of Taxes) v. Savill
Brothers (Limited) 682
"Winkfield," The 685

CURRENT TOPICS.

THE MEETING of the International Law Association at Glasgow this week under the presidency of Lord ALVERSTONE is noteworthy for the important declaration it has produced on the subject of international arbitration. The Lord Chief Justice spoke in terms of high praise of the draft treaty of arbitration between this country and the United States which unfortunately failed to win the adhesion of the latter country in 1897. It remains to be seen whether the treaty can be put forward again and with what result. The main object of the discussion at the conference was to advocate the negotiation of a treaty on similar lines between Great Britain and France. It may be impracticable to hope that such a treaty could cover all possible sources of dispute. The occupation of Egypt was a subject suggested by Mr. GRAY HILLS beyond the scope of arbitration, and a French speaker instanced the case of Alsace-Lorraine as a matter which neither France nor Germany would submit to the arbitration of another state. Exclusions of this kind may in the present state of feeling be necessary if any progress is to be made, but in all ordinary matters it should be possible to refer disputes between nations to arbitration in a systematic way. According to the resolution passed by the conference, that body expressed its satisfaction at the attempts made to widen the scope of arbitration between states, its regret at the failure of the British-American scheme, and its approval of a Franco-British treaty on similar lines. The conference also discussed and adopted a new set of marine insurance rules to be known as the Glasgow Marine Insurance Rules of 1901.

THE RECENTLY-PUBLISHED Judicial Statistics for 1899 shew, as might be expected, how heavily the new work arising under the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1897, has told upon the Court of Appeal. In 1897 the final and interlocutory appeals entered numbered 670. In 1898 the figure had risen to 767, and in 1899 to 826, or an increase of more than 25 per cent. on the average of the previous four years. Of the 826 appeals entered, those under the Workmen's Compensation Act were 140. This increase in business, without at the same time any arrangement for additional facilities for dealing with the business, accounts largely for the state of arrears into which the court has sunk. According to the introduction prefixed to the statistics by Master MACDONELL, the work in the Chancery Division for 1899 presented no special features. There was a slight decline in the number of proceedings begun—7,677 as compared with 7,999 in 1898, and also in the matters heard—889 as compared with 921.

IN THE King's Bench Division the number of writs—68,114—shewed a decline from the 70,967 issued in 1898; but Master MACDONELL adds that in 1900 there was an increase in the writs in the Central Office—43,784 as against 41,440 in 1899. A feature to which he calls attention is that the introduction of the summons for directions has not, apparently, greatly reduced the number of interlocutory applications. The proportion of summonses to every 100 writs issued was approximately 62 and 60 for the years 1899 and 1898, during which the new system was in force, and 67 and 65 for the two previous years. There is, of course, an enormous disproportion between the number of writs issued and the number of actions heard. The number of actions entered in 1899 in London and Middlesex and on circuit was 4,151 as against 4,229 for 1898, but of the 4,151 only 2,704 were tried or disposed of in court. Actual trial also is responsible for but a small part of the total amount of nearly seven million

pounds recovered in the division. Only £190,775 was recovered after trial by jury, and £352,688 after trial without jury. A third of the entire sum, or £2,313,758, was recovered under order 14. As between trial by judge or by jury the numbers remain pretty even. Of the number of actions tried (2,704), those in which a jury was dispensed with were 1,182, or 43.71 per cent. For the years 1895-99 the corresponding average was 48.44 per cent. We deal elsewhere with the statistics relating to county courts.

MASTER MACDONELL gives in his introduction to the Civil Judicial Statistics a table of towns where little or no civil assize business is done. The following are enumerated as towns where no actions were entered or tried in 1899—Brecon, Mold, Oakham, and Welshpool and Newtown. A list of twenty-five assize towns is given where five cases or under were entered or tried, and in the following sixteen towns (including alternative towns) there has been no year during the last six years in which more than five cases have been entered and tried—Appleby, Aylesbury, Beaumaris, Bedford, Brecon, Devizes and Salisbury, Dolgelly, Hertford, Huntingdon, Mold, Oakham, Presteign, Reading, Ruthin, Warwick, and Welshpool and Newtown. Lists of this kind are eloquent in favour of a redistribution of assize towns, so as to confine the visits of judges to places where the business really warrants the expenditure both of money and of time.

THE CASE of *Darlow v. Singleton*, which came before a Divisional Court recently, is interesting in regard to the borough courts which have existed in England for centuries. It was an application for a rule calling on the Master of the Crown office to shew cause why a mandamus should not issue to him to enter an appeal from the Court of Pleas of Preston. By section 45 of the Judicature Act, 1873, appeals from an inferior court which might before have been brought to a court whose jurisdiction is by the Act transferred to the High Court of Justice are to be heard by Divisional Courts, and the appellant had to make out that an appeal could have been brought before the passing of the Act. It appeared that by an Order in Council of September, 1854, the provisions of the Common Law Procedure Act, 1852, were applied to the Preston court. The Common Law Procedure Act contained no provisions as to appeals except as regards error. Section 35 of the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, gave an appeal in cases of motions for a new trial, but this section had not been applied by Order in Council to the Preston court. The Divisional Court, therefore (KENNEDY, and DARLING, JJ.), refused the rule, holding that no right of appeal existed before the Judicature Act, and pointing out that section 102 of the Common Law Procedure Act, 1854, expressly provided for appeals in the case of the Court of Common Pleas at Lancaster and the Court of Common Pleas at Durham, so that in the absence of any such statutory provision no right of appeal could be inferred. Mr. Justice DARLING is reported to have said that, now that attention had been directed to the subject, the law might be amended by the Legislature. But the Legislature seems to be very reluctant to deal with borough courts.

THESE TRIBUNALS are discussed in the first report of the commissioners to enquire into the Municipal Corporations of England and Wales. It is there stated that in a large majority of the boroughs of England and Wales there are local civil courts with a jurisdiction co-extensive with the limits of the borough. These in general have their origin in particular charters, but occasionally (as was the case with the court at Preston) they exist by prescription. In general they have cognizance of all personal actions, and the amount for which such actions can be brought is often unlimited. So much has been said of the convenience to suitors of having justice dispensed within easy distance of their place of residence, that it seems at first sight strange that these courts (with the exception of the Mayor's Court of London, the Liverpool Passage Court,

the Salford Hundred Court, and possibly the Bristol Tolzey Court) should have fallen into disuse. But we find that most of these courts were subject to disadvantages. The judge was usually the mayor, who had no professional knowledge, and, living in the place, was often suspected of partiality. A judgment obtained in the court could not be enforced outside the borough, and the defendant was able to escape liability by removing himself and his goods out of the jurisdiction of the court. The costs of proceedings were often excessive, and the rules of procedure were without the improvements that had been introduced into the practice of the Superior Courts. Some of these defects have been removed by statute. The judge is now either the Recorder or a barrister of seven years' standing. The judgment may be enforced throughout Great Britain and Ireland, through other courts having jurisdiction, where the person or property of the defendant is found. By the Statute Law Revision and Civil Procedure Act, 1883, s. 8, the Crown may from time to time by Order in Council extend to any court of civil jurisdiction any of the provisions of the Supreme Court of Judicature Act, 1873, and the Acts amending it or of the Rules of Court made thereunder, with such modifications as may be necessary or desirable. Several applications were made by boroughs for an Order in Council enabling them to adopt the Judicature Rules, and we believe that about seven years ago a committee was appointed to report as to the principle upon which the rules of borough courts of record should be framed, and also to settle a model code so as to establish as far as might be uniformity of practice. This committee probably made its report, but we understand that no application under the section has been entertained even in the case of the Court of Passage of Liverpool, where a large business is transacted. This would seem to shew that there is no great probability of the Government promoting any legislation in the direction suggested by Mr. Justice DARLING.

A GOOD DEAL of discussion has from time to time arisen over the provision of 7 Geo. 3, c. 37, by which certain lands reclaimed from the Thames were to be "free from all taxes and assessments whatsoever." It has, of course, not been doubted that the words freed the lands from all taxes and assessments then existing, but questions have arisen where these have been re-imposed by subsequent legislation, and also where new taxes and rates have been imposed. Upon the former point it has been decided that the exemption still applies where old taxes are re-imposed by later statutes (*Williams v. Pritchard*, 2 T. R. 2; *R. v. London Gas Co.*, 8 B. & C. 54), but upon the latter it seems that in respect of new taxes there was no exemption: *Perchard v. Heywood* (8 T. R. 468). The two former cases related to land tax and poor rate, the last to house and window duties. The question has arisen again in *Sion College v. Mayor of London* (49 W. R. 361), where the Court of Appeal have affirmed the judgment of the Divisional Court, refusing exemption, but on different grounds. The case related to a consolidated rate levied under the City of London Sewers Act, 1848, and the Elementary Education Act, 1870, and, so far as it was levied under the former Act the Divisional Court held that it was practically the same as the rate for cleansing, &c., which had been levied for many years previously. The Act of 1848, however, levied the rate on all occupiers, whether liable to poor rate, or not so liable by reason of the premises "being situate in any precinct or extra-parochial place or otherwise," and the Divisional Court held that these last words were sufficient to exclude the old statutory exemption. In the Court of Appeal this latter point has not been decided, as the rate levied under the Act of 1848, being levied under new conditions and circumstances, was considered to be a new rate, and therefore not within the exemption of rates existing at the date of 7 Geo. 3, c. 37. The decision restricts, though it is far from abolishing, a rating anomaly for which there seems to be no rational justification at the present day.

The next sessions at the Central Criminal Court will begin on Tuesday, the 10th of September, when it is expected there will be a very heavy calendar of prisoners for trial, including several charges of murder. Mr. Justice Bucknill will be the presiding judge.

THE
retros
the th
to th
afford
to giv
ment,
useful
which
collect
out th
to the
their
lost th
becom
concur
and m
exclus
unnece
Thou
the ye
total th
year,
the ye
exceed
amount
ments
year w
in 1899
while t
except
but lit
for 189
in 1899
tion of
of cases
1896.
that the
for the
suitable
Division
Turnin
from the
were 1,3
men's C
and 505
connecti
former A
one-four
under th
i.e., in th
the Tithe
tions ma
in 1898,
as large
special s
jurisdicti
(includin
jurisdicti
With r
to the cou
in 1899,
annual a
may not
grand tot
court circ
millions.
The third
on Thureda
national law
foreign with
the chief p
execution of
K.C.; and
expediency
with regard

SOME COUNTY COURT STATISTICS.

THE end of a legal year affords a good opportunity for retrospect, and, thanks to the report recently issued under the editorship of Master JOHN MACDONELL, C.B., with regard to the Civil Judicial Statistics for 1899, ample material is afforded for this purpose. Without, however, attempting to give a detailed analysis of this interesting and able document, which well repays careful perusal and study, it may be useful and instructive briefly to consider that portion of it which concerns litigation in the county courts. The statistics collected on this subject are very suggestive, and fully bear out the view, often previously expressed in these columns, as to the undoubted popularity of these courts, which, since their establishment in 1846 by Act of Parliament, have gradually lost their original character as mere small debts courts, and have become civil tribunals of first instance with a largely increased concurrent jurisdiction with the High Court over ordinary causes and matters, and possessing also an important and sometimes exclusive original jurisdiction under various special statutes unnecessary to mention here.

Though the complaints actually issued in the county courts in the year under consideration (1899), amounting to no less a total than 1,152,163, were slightly fewer than in the previous year, they were considerably in excess of the figures for the years 1894-1898; while the cases determined on hearing exceeded the number reached in any previous year and amounted to 414,956, the plaintiffs moreover obtaining judgments for a total amount above that recovered in any former year with the exception of 1894. As regards the costs allowed in 1899, the amount is above the average of the last few years, while the total fees were the largest received in any year except 1894. The number of debtors imprisoned varies but little, it would seem, from year to year, the figures for 1899—namely, 7,867—being much the same as those in 1898 and in the years 1895-1899. The equity jurisdiction of the county courts is not often invoked, and the number of cases in 1899 is less than in any previous year since 1896. This is probably due, in no small measure, to the fact that the machinery provided by the County Courts Act and Rules for the transaction of business in chambers is not so perfect and suitable to equity proceedings as that available in the Chancery Division of the High Court.

Turning to the jurisdiction under special statutes, we notice, from the report under consideration, that in the year 1899 there were 1,347 arbitrations in the county courts under the Workmen's Compensation Act, 1897, and 763 memoranda registered, and 505 cases under the Employers' Liability Act, 1880. In this connection it is worthy of notice that out of 999 cases under the former Act the defendants succeeded in 246 cases, or in about one-fourth of them; while, on the other hand, out of 246 cases under the latter statute the defendant succeeded in 91 cases—i.e., in three-eighths. Taking another special statute—namely, the Tithe Act, 1891—we find that in 1899 there were 2,534 applications made under that Act to the county courts, as against 2,513 in 1898, the annual average, however, from 1895-1899 being as large as 3,185. Without referring to statistics under other special statutes, we may mention incidentally that bankruptcy jurisdiction is possessed by 146 county courts, while 45 (including the City of London Court) exercise admiralty jurisdiction.

With regard to actions and interpleader proceedings remitted to the county courts from the High Court, they numbered 1,618 in 1899, as against 1,674 in 1898, but considerably exceeded the annual average for the years 1895-1899. In conclusion, it may not be without interest to our readers to record that the grand total of population comprised in all the fifty-nine county court circuits and the City of London Court exceeds twenty-nine millions.

The third day's sitting of the International Law Association in Glasgow on Thursday was devoted to papers and discussion dealing with the international law of marriage, the execution of foreign judgments, evidence by foreign witnesses, and pauper litigants. Mr. Justice Phillimore submitted the chief paper on marriage laws; the report of the committee on the execution of foreign judgments was brought up by Mr. J. Alderson Foote, R.C.; and Mr. John P. Coldstream read extracts from a paper on the expediency of uniformity in the procedure of courts of civilized countries with regard to pauper litigants.

SURRENDER OF SHARES.

IN THE recent case of *Bellerby v. Rowland and Marwood's Steamship Co. (Limited)* (1901, 2 Ch. 265) an ingenious attempt was made to profit by the well-known rule that a purchase by a company of its own shares is *ultra vires*, but though the rule was held to be applicable to a surrender of shares involving the release of the member from liability for uncalled capital, KEKEWICH, J., under the special circumstances declined to admit this as a ground for granting the relief asked for. The defendant company was incorporated in 1890 with a capital of £275,000 divided into 25,000 shares of £11 each. By the articles of association it was provided that the directors might accept from any member a surrender of shares on such terms and conditions as should be agreed. In 1893 the company sustained a loss of over £4,000 in connection with a new steamship for the building of which it had contracted, and the directors, five in number, agreed to bear this loss between themselves. With this object it was arranged that each should surrender eighty-three of his shares. The shares were then paid up to the extent of £10, there being thus an outstanding liability of £1. The transaction was confirmed by resolutions of the board, and the certificates for the shares surrendered were cancelled. A deed-poll was also executed by the directors declaring that the shares had been surrendered with the object of making good to the company the loss sustained in connection with the steamship in question, but expressly disclaiming any liability in respect of the loss on the part of the directors. Subsequently the business of the company became prosperous, and at general meetings held in 1899 and 1900 the view was expressed that the shares formerly surrendered by the directors should be restored to them. A means of doing this seemed to be available in the fact that the surrender was really a purchase by the company of its own shares, and therefore *ultra vires*; and that in consequence the register ought to be rectified by restoring the names of the directors, or, in the case of two who had died, by inserting the names of their personal representatives. An action accordingly was brought to attain this result, but KEKEWICH, J., while allowing that the transaction was *ultra vires*, held that no case had been made out for avoiding its effect by restoring the surrendered shares.

To what extent a surrender of shares to a company can ever be supported is a question by no means free from difficulty, and KEKEWICH, J., forbore to discuss it. In *Re Dronfield Silkstone Co.* (17 Ch. D. 76, p. 94,) COTTON, L.J., assumed the validity of surrender generally, and made it a ground for supporting also a purchase by a company of its own shares. It was argued, he pointed out, that such a purchase was invalid as being a reduction of the capital of the company, and he observed: "If this transaction is to be held invalid on that ground I do not see how a surrender or forfeiture of shares is ever to be supported." But the reasoning, as was subsequently shewn by *Trevor v. Whitworth* (36 W. R. 145, 12 App. Cas. 409), begins at the wrong end. It is sufficiently obvious that a purchase by a company of its own shares for cash is a return to the shareholders of that amount of the capital of the company, and until the shares are re-issued the paid up capital is correspondingly diminished. The result of such a transaction in *Trevor v. Whitworth* was that in the winding up of the company a former shareholder was competing with the creditors to recover the unpaid balance of the purchase-money. In effect, that is, he was claiming a return of capital *pari passu* with the payment of the company's debts. It is not surprising that the House of Lords held such a transaction to be invalid and were content to leave the case of a surrender of shares to take care of itself. Lord HERSHELL, however, expressed his opinion that the decision did not really affect forfeiture and surrender. Forfeiture of shares, he pointed out, is recognized by the Companies Acts, and moreover it does not involve any payment by the company. At the outside it only exonerates from future liability those who have shewn themselves unable to contribute what is due from them to the capital of the company. There is no return of paid-up capital, though there is a reduction of the amount which has been subscribed. It is

the same with a surrender which is made to avoid a forfeiture. Where a company is in a position to forfeit shares, the effect is identical if it accepts a surrender. And Lord HERSHELL did not exclude other possible cases of legitimate surrender, quoting the words of JESSEL, M.R., in *Re Dronfield, &c., Co.*: "It is not for me to say what the limits of surrender are which are allowable under the Act, because each case as it arises must be decided upon its own merits."

What, then, is to be said in a case like *Bellerby v. Rowland, &c., Steamship Co. (supra)* where, though no cash passes, a solvent shareholder surrenders shares in respect of which there is a liability for uncalled capital and so frees himself from this liability. There is here no return to the shareholder of any part of the paid-up capital of the company, and the transaction is not open therefore to the obvious objection which is fatal to an ordinary purchase by a company of its own shares. There is, however, an actual decrease in the resources to which the creditors of the company may have to look, and judged by this test the transaction violates the principles of the Companies Acts. Creditors lose nothing if a company accepts a surrender of shares from an insolvent shareholder so as to avoid the necessity of forfeiture. But the abandonment of the right to call up capital from a solvent shareholder stands upon a different footing, and a transaction involving such an abandonment cannot but be regarded as prejudicial to creditors. Technically, moreover, the surrender, being in consideration of a release of liability, operates as a purchase of shares in the company so as to bring the case within the decision of *Trevor v. Whitworth*. KEKEWICH, J., held, accordingly, that the surrender in the present case was bad notwithstanding that, prior to *Trevor v. Whitworth*, a surrender of shares on which there was a liability for calls had been supported in *Snell's case* (L. R. 5 Ch. 22). The power of the court to rectify the register by restoring omitted names under section 35 of the Companies Act, 1862, can, however, be exercised only where the court is "satisfied of the justice of the case," and in the circumstances before him KEKEWICH, J., held that there was no consideration of justice which required him to restore the directors to a position which had been voluntarily abandoned so many years before. In the words of the learned judge, there was no equity to disturb the existing state of things, and the action accordingly failed.

CASES OF THE WEEK.

Before the Vacation Judge.

PHILLIPS v. GODFREY. 21st August.

LANDLORD AND TENANT—RETAINER OF POSSESSION OF PREMISES CONTRARY TO UNDERTAKING—MOTION TO RESTRAIN—FORM OF ORDER—ECCLESIASTICAL LAW—NOTICE TO QUIT BY VICAR TO ASSISTANT CURATE—PLURALITIES ACT, 1838 (1 & 2 VICT. c. 106), ss. 95 AND 96.

This was a motion upon behalf of the Rev. John Edward Phillips, of the Vicarage, Hucknall Torkard, Nottingham, the plaintiff, for an order for an injunction to restrain the defendant, the Rev. John Talbot Godfrey, from remaining in occupation or possession of St. John's Parsonage, in the said parish of Hucknall Torkard, and from interfering with or disturbing the plaintiff in his occupation or possession of the said parsonage. In support of the motion it was said that the plaintiff was the vicar of the parish of Hucknall Torkard, Nottingham, and had been vicar of the parish for twenty-two years and upwards. On or about the 10th of December, 1898, he engaged the defendant as an assistant curate of his parish, and placed him in charge of St. John's Church, which was one of the mission churches or chapels of ease in the parish, at a salary and with the use and occupation of the parsonage house attached to the church for the residence of the curate there, he paying the rates and taxes and doing all repairs. On or about the 6th of February, 1901, with the written consent of the Right Rev. the Lord Bishop of Southwell, the bishop of the diocese, he gave the defendant six months' notice to terminate the curacy, and such notice expired on the 6th of August last. Subsequently to his giving the notice—namely, on the 15th of April last—the defendant commenced an action against him for libel and slander, and in the same action he (the plaintiff) counterclaimed in respect of a libel contained in a letter of the defendant to one of the plaintiff's churchwardens. The action came on for hearing at the Nottingham Assizes on the 18th and 19th of July last, when it was settled upon the following terms, namely: "Record withdrawn, each party paying his own costs. All imputations on both sides withdrawn. Plaintiff undertakes to leave his house on the 6th of August next." The undertaking was endorsed on the brief of leading counsel. On or about the 25th of July last, notwithstanding the undertaking given in court in the action, the plaintiff received a letter from the defendant stating that he had written to the bishop that he did not accept

his notice of the 6th of February. The defendant was still in possession of the parsonage house, his wife being in occupation of it. There was authority in support of the order asked for being granted on motion—*Collison v. Warren* (1901, 1 Ch. 814). The notice to quit was given under the Pluralities Act, 1838 (1 & 2 VICT. c. 106), ss. 95 and 96. By section 95: "And be it enacted that every curate shall quit and give up the cure of any benefice, which shall become vacant, upon having six weeks' notice from the spiritual person admitted, collated, instituted, or licensed to such benefice provided such notice shall be given within six months from the time of such admission, collation, institution, or licence; and that in all other cases it shall be lawful for the incumbent of any benefice whether resident or non-resident thereon, having first obtained the permission of the bishop of the diocese, to be signified by writing under his hand, to require any one or more of his curates, who after the passing of this Act shall be licensed to any curacy, to quit and give up his curacy upon six months' notice thereof given to the curate, who shall thereupon quit the same according to such notice, provided always that any incumbent resident on his benefice, or not resident but desiring to reside on his benefice, may, within one month after refusal of such permission as aforesaid by the bishop, appeal to the archbishop of the province, who shall either confirm such refusal or grant such permission as to him may seem just and proper." By section 96: "And be it enacted, that every curate who shall reside in the house of residence of any benefice which shall become vacant shall peaceably deliver up possession thereof, with the appurtenances, upon having six weeks' notice from the spiritual person admitted, collated, instituted, or licensed to such benefice, provided such notice be given within six months from the time of such admission, collation, institution, or licence; and that in all other cases it shall be lawful for the incumbent of any benefice, with the permission signified in writing under the hand of the bishop of the diocese, or for such bishop, at any time, upon six months' notice in writing, to direct any curate to deliver up the house of residence, and the offices, stables, gardens, and appurtenances thereto belonging, and such portion of the glebe land as shall have been assigned to such curate, and such curate shall thereupon peaceably deliver up the possession of the premises pursuant to such notice; and if any curate shall refuse to deliver up such premises in any or either of the cases aforesaid he shall pay the spiritual person holding the benefice the sum of forty shillings for every day of wrongful possession after the service of such notice." The defendant did not appear either in person or by counsel.

JOYCE, J., said that he felt a difficulty about granting an injunction restraining the defendant from remaining in possession, but he would grant an injunction restraining the defendant, his servants and agents, from interfering with the plaintiff's or any of his nominees' or curates' occupation and possession of the parsonage until trial or further order. Injunction accordingly.—COUNSEL, Bramwell Davis, K.C. (G. G. Solomon with him). SOLICITORS, Murr & Rusby, for Eansom & Eulton, Nottingham.

[Reported by J. E. ALDOUS, Barrister-at-Law.]

CASES OF LAST SITTINGS.

High Court—King's Bench Division.

PEARKS & CO. (Appellants) v. KNIGHT (Respondent). SAME (Appellants) v. VAN TROMP (Respondent). Div. Court. 9th Aug.

ADULTERATION—FOOD AND DRUGS—ARTICLE NOT OF THE NATURE, SUBSTANCE, AND QUALITY DEMAND BY THE PURCHASER—BUTTER, ADDING MILK TO—SALE OF FOOD AND DRUGS ACT, 1875 (38 & 39 VICT. c. 63), s. 6.

These two cases were heard together. The first was a case stated by the stipendiary magistrate for the Staffordshire Potteries District, on an information preferred by W. H. Knight, the respondent, against Pearks & Co., the appellants, under section 6 of the Sale of Food and Drugs Act, 1875, charging that the appellants did sell to the prejudice of one C. Gifford, the purchaser, butter which was not of the nature, substance, and quality demanded by the purchaser, and upon which hearing the magistrate convicted the appellants. The facts as stated shewed that the appellants were grocers carrying on business, amongst other places, at Fenton, in the county of Stafford. The respondent was an inspector under the Sale of Food and Drugs Acts. On the 20th of March, 1901, the respondent caused to be purchased by one C. Gifford at the appellants' shop one half-pound of butter for the purpose of analysis, the price of which butter was 5d. The butter was divided into three parts, one of which was sent to the public analyst. All the requirements relating to the purchase of an article for analysis were duly complied with. The analyst stated that the butter contained 22.53 per cent. of water and that this was at least 6 per cent. too much. Water is a natural constituent of butter and is always present to a considerable though varying extent. Butter is made from milk or cream, or both. Milk contains about 88 per cent., cream about 50 per cent. of water. There is no statutory standard for the composition of butter as regards the proportion of water, the Board of Agriculture having made no regulations as to this under section 4 of the Sale of Food and Drugs Act, 1899. The standard taken by the analyst in this case was 16 per cent. The 6 per cent. excess was not the result of the manufacture of the butter, but was caused by the addition to the butter already manufactured of extraneous milk, which had been incorporated with the said butter by some process subsequent to the manufacture thereof. This added milk was not required for the production of the butter, but was incorporated therewith for the purpose of increasing its weight, by means of the extra water thereby introduced.

It was stated or three appellants be adulterated and therefor ever the p as to the 22.53 per offence h eliminati opinion th by the ad milk being under sec nature, s being adu

Pr This can shire, and appellants at Brierley inspector of April, Guilbert, purpose o and was w The butte the public were comp per cent. butter in t of butter i the proces derived en price of 1 butter ab the butte to an alre called butt of milk, a to increas fact that upon it b warrant th abnormal appellants both cases tively wer section 6 THE COU tates were fore dismi J. Walton, B. G. Bro M. F. Blak

SOLICIT August August Victoria-st August August suspended

Whereas the forms as circumst refer, and alterations schedule as And whe of Trade by And whe The Form Trade by a with Form 1901: Now ther and additio Form E her

WINDING UP NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 16.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

ARMY AND NAVY CO-OPERATIVE BREWERIES, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Oct 4, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to John Marks, 21, Hart st, Bloomsbury.

CONTINENTAL TRUST, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 23, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Vincent Cox, 5, Stansted grove, Oxford.

EAST SYNDICATE, LIMITED—Creditors are, on or before Sept 9, to send their names and addresses, with particulars of their debts or claims, to Mr Charles Eden George, 81, Lombard st. Smith & Son, Old Broad st, solers to liquidator.

GLOBE CARRIAGE (BRITISH AND FOREIGN), LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 30, to send their names, addresses, and particulars of their claims, to Philip Bates, 110, Edmund st, Birmingham.

HEATH'S HERBAL STRIP SYNDICATE, LIMITED (IN LIQUIDATION)—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 30, to send in their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Stephen Tunbridge, 2, William st, Redditch.

LIVERPOOL, CARNARVON, AND MENAI STRAITS STEAMSHIP CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 30, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Benjamin Cookson, 5, Castle st, Liverpool.

JOINT STOCK COMPANIES.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

CORDON STREET MANUFACTURING CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 21, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to Thomas Waterworth, 63, Victoria st, Blackburn.

HINCKLEY STEAM LAUNDRY CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Wednesday, Aug 28, to send their names and addresses, and particulars of their debts or claims, to Thomas Parsons, 30, Manor st, Hinckley. S. & S. H. Pilgrim, Hinckley, solers for liquidator.

KNOTTINGLEY TOWN HALL AND MECHANICS INSTITUTE CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 31, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to James William Bentley, Knottingley. Atkinson, Knottingley, solers to liquidator.

MUSICAL DIRECTORS ASSOCIATION, LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 30, to send their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William Charles Hunsman, 8, Duke st, Aldgate. Durant, Guildhall chambers, solers for liquidator.

SHIPOWNERS TUG CO., LIMITED—Creditors are required, on or before Sept 30, to send in their names and addresses, and the particulars of their debts or claims, to William John Hudson, 16, St Helens pl. Armistead & Chaplin, Bishopsgate st Within, solers to liquidator.

COUNTY PALATINE OF LANCASTER.

LIMITED IN CHANCERY.

LIVERPOOL AND BIRKENHEAD HOUSE PROPERTY INVESTMENT CO., LIMITED—Petition for winding up, presented Aug 15, directed to be heard at St George's Hall, Liverpool, on Monday, Nov 4. Jones & Stephenson, 84, Castle st, Liverpool, solers for petitioners. Notice of appearing must reach the above-named not later than 6 o'clock in the afternoon of Nov 3.

CREDITORS' NOTICES.

UNDER 22 & 23 VICT. CAP. 35.

LAST DAY OF CLAIM.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 16.

AGATE, ALFRED, Horsham, Sussex Sept 16 Cotingham, Horsham

BEVAN, SYDNEY, Tunbridge Wells Sept 26 Thorowgood & Co, Cophall ct

BANKRUPTCY NOTICES.

London Gazette.—FRIDAY, AUG. 16.

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ALLOWAY, FREDERICK DOUGLAS, Lincoln's inn fields Solicitor High Court Pet July 30 Ord Aug 12

BEVAN, JOHN, Madley, Hereford, Builder Hereford Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12

BEDFORD, THOMAS LEAKE, Leeds Leeds Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14

BENJAMIN, ISADORE, Spitalfields, Fur Dealer High Court Pet July 29 Ord Aug 12

BESWICK, ROBERT, Bridlington, Joiner Scarborough Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18

BOHNER, JOHN EDMOND, Aston, Birmingham, Mineral Water Manufacturer Birmingham Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12

BREYAN, JOHN, Borrowash, Derby, Builder Derby Pet Aug 19 Ord Aug 12

BRETTINGHAM, J A F, Finsbury, Licensed Victualler High Court Pet July 25 Ord Aug 12

BROOMHEAD, CHARLES ENOCH, Sheffield, Scissor Manufacturer Sheffield Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12

BROWN and FAIRIE, Leicester, Boot Manufacturers Leicester Pet July 30 Ord Aug 14

BRIDSON, W W, Trinity sq, Tower Hill High Court Pet July 20 Ord Aug 12

BULTITUDE, WILLIAM, Colchester, Ironmonger Colchester Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14

CHAMLEY, RICHARD, Capernwray Mill, nr Carnforth, Miller's Assistant Preston Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14

COOK, MATTHEW, Congleton, Builder Macclesfield Pet Aug 5 Ord Aug 10

DAVIS, MORRIS, Thornton Heath Croydon Pet July 17 Ord Aug 9

DEEDEN, MYER, Birmingham, Tailor Birmingham Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18

FLATHAM, WILLIAM, Bolton, Suffolk, Wheelwright Great Yarmouth Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14

GOSLING, WALTER, Gwathorpe, nr Ouse, Farm Labourer Dewsbury Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12

HALL, JOHN, Plough rd, Clapham, Jobbing Builder Wandsworth Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12

HEW, R C, Walsall, Tailor Walsall Pet June 12 Ord Aug 12

KING THOMAS EDWARD, Whalley Range, nr Manchester, Fruit Salesman Manchester Pet Aug 9 Ord Aug 9

LEE, H J, Francis st, Tottenham Court rd High Court Pet July 3 Ord Aug 14

LIVERS, WILLIAM, Ilkeston Derby Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14

MEREDITH, WILLIAM, Barnard Castle, Cycle Agent Stockton-on-Tees Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10

MITCHELL-LINER, ARTHUR CAMPBELL, Cornhill High Court Pet June 6 Ord Aug 14

NELSON, JOHN, Hunsanton, Builder King's Lynn Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14

PANSONS, G MOUTRAY, Piccadilly, High Court Pet July 4 Ord Aug 14

PERKIN, CHARLES, Leek, Stafford Macclesfield Pet Aug 18 Ord Aug 18

RICHLEY, NICHOLAS, Corbridge, Northumberland, Ins-keep-er Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12

STYDE, ALBERT EDWARD, Lewes Lewes Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13

THOMAS, RICHARD, Seaford, nr Liverpool, Builder Liverpool Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 14

WALLBAUM, S. & SONS, Bradford, Woollen Merchants Bradford Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 14

WHITMORE, CHARLES, Barrow in Furness, Plater Barrow in Furness Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14

YONATH, HENRY DAVID, Newport, Mon, Brewer Newport Pet July 17 Ord Aug 14

FIRST MEETINGS.

ALLSO, JOHN PAYNE, Beeston, Norfolk, Publican Aug 24 at 12 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich

ATKINSON, CHARLES THREASTONE, Northallerton, Inn-keeper Aug 28 at 11.30 Court house, Northallerton

BARKER, WILLIAM, Bournemouth, Fruiterer Aug 23 at 12.30 Off Rec, Endless st, Salisbury

BAUER, MORITZ LOUIS, Hampstead, Merchant Aug 23 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

BEVAN, JOHN, Madley, Hereford, Builder Sept 2 at 10 2, Offs st, Hereford

BRETTINGHAM, J A F, Finsbury, Licensed Victualler Aug 29 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

BRIDSON, W W, Trinity sq, Tower Hill Aug 28 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

COATES, ROBERT, Bedford, York, Earthenware Dealer Aug 30 at 3 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Bournemouth

COLLINSBOURNE, FRANK JOHN, Newport, Mon, Fruit Salesman Aug 23 at 11.30 Off Rec, Westgate chambers, Newport, Mon

DAILY, DANIEL, Newport, Mon, Outfitter Aug 23 at 11 Off Rec, Westgate chambers, Newport, Mon

FRANKLIN, B. & SON, Spitalfields, Wholesale Boot Manu- facturer Aug 23 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

GARRARD, CHARLES BAILEY, Elm Frison, Springfield, Essex Aug 23 at 11 Towhall, Manningford

GRIFFIN, ISAAC, Chardfield, Suffolk Sept 20 at 2 Off Rec, 26, Prince st, Ipswich

HEAL, ERNEST CHARLES, Burton on Trent, Photographer Aug 24 at 11.45 Midland Hotel, Station st, Burton on Trent

HOBBS, THOMAS, Hereford, Shopfitter Sept 20 at 10 2, Offs st, Hereford

HUTCHINSON, BETH, Newton le Willows, Lancs, Licensed Victualler Aug 23 at 3 Off Rec, Bryson st, Manchester

JESTY, WALTER, Fareham, Ironmonger Aug 23 at 4 Off Rec, Cambridge junc, High st, Portsmouth

BROWN, ELIZABETH, Humbleton on Tyne, Northumberland Oct 14 Phillips & Turnbull, Newcastle on Tyne

BROWNELL, MATILDA MANTHA, Stockwell Sept 23 Miller & Co, Liverpool

CARTER, CHARLES ELLA, Middlemoor, York Sept 20 Edmundson & Gowland, Masham

CLAYDON, MARY ANN, Leyton Sept 16 Duke, Graham st

CURRIE, FREDERICK TREELING, Lincoln Sept 16 Hudson, Doncaster

DANGAR, HENRY PHELPS, Pall Mall Oct 30 Hollams & Co, Mincing in

DAVIDE, WILLIAM THOMAS, Poland st, Oxford Sept 12 Letchford, Mark in

DAVIES, THOMAS CAMBRIA, Upper Holloway, Printer Sept 16 Fumrey & Son, Pater- noster row

EARLE, WILLIAM BATCHELOR JOHN, Cast's Meadow, Norwich, Licensed Victualler Sept 16 Cooper, Norwich

ELLERMAN, EDWARD JOHN, Maygate Sept 16 Westcott, Strand

FENWICK, JOHN GEORGE, Gosforth, Northumberland Sept 30 Richardson & Elder, New- castle on Tyne

FIELD, GEORGE, Finchley rd Oct 1 Plunkett & Leader, St Paul's churchyard

GILLIBRAND, ALICE, Fulwood, nr Preston Sept 21 Forshaw & Parker, Preston

GREG, FRANCHIE, Manchester Sept 21 Cunliffe & Greg, Manchester

HILL, JEREMIAH, Hastings Sept 30 Gush & Co, Finsbury circus

HOLDEN, RAY CHARLES WILLIAM, Banbury Sept 30 H & C Collins, Reading

HOLDSCH, WALTER HENRY, Gerard's Cross, Buckingham Sept 30 H & C Collins, Reading

HURRY, CHARLES TOLME, Pimlico Sept 16 Blyth & Co, Graham House

JONES, RICHARD, Thornaby on Tees, York, Ironworker Aug 31 Horner, Stockton on Tees

LAKE, MARY ELIZABETH, Brompton Sept 15 Upton & Co, Austin Friars

LAW, THOMAS, Crowthorne, nr Todmorden, Grocer Sept 23 Blomley & Son, Todmorden

LIXTON, JOHN, Liverpool Sept 19 Barry & Co, Liverpool

MATTHEWS, JAMES, Sheddfield, Southampton, Yeoman Aug 31 F I & J C Warner, Winchester

MILLA, JOHN STUART, Liverpool Aug 31 Stone & Co, Liverpool

MORRISON, GEORGE HAMPTON, Liverpool, Merchant Oct 4 Hill & Co, Liverpool

NORMAN, SIR FRANCIS BOOTH, KCB, Sussex gds, West Dulwich Sept 16 White & Leonard, Ludgate circus

PASMORE, JAMES, Bath Sept 30 Hippisley, Bristol

PREDDLEY, GEORGE, Tindale cres, nr Bishop Auckland, Yeoman Aug 30 Jennings, Bishop Auckland

PROCTER, ALICE, Melling, Lancaster Sept 14 Saul, Lancaster

PROCTER, ROBERT NICHOLSON, Melling, Lancaster Sept 14 Saul, Lancaster

RAWDON, JOSHUA FRANCIS, Beckenham Oct 1 Walker & Co, Carey st

RAWSTON, RICHARD, Rawtenstall, Lancs Sept 29 Woodcock & Co, Haslingden

REED, GEORGE HENRY BAYNES, Falmouth Sept 18 Genn, Falmouth

ROBINSON, THOMAS, Greystoke, Cumberland Sept 17 Armitson & Co, Penrith

SCOTT, ROBERT CAFFYN, Waterloo rd, Builder Sept 28 Barton & Pearman, Norfolk st, Strand

SHEPHERD, JAMES, Plymouth Sept 16 France & France, Plymouth

SWIFT, ANN, St Helen's, Lancs Aug 31 Barrow & Cook, St Helen's

TEASDALE, ROBERT, Alston, Cumberland Aug 27 Blackburn & Son, Carlisle

VANNINI, THERESA ALVES, Florence, Italy Oct 1 Rivington & Msn, Fenchurch bldgs

WEBLEY, MR GEORGE, Salford, Oxford Sept 30 Wilkins & Toy, Chipping Norton

WEBSTER, EDWIN, Brighouse, Pawnbroker Sept 18 Clough & Crabtree, Cuckheaton

WHIDDEN, THOMAS, South Tawton, Devon, Farmer Sept 16 Friend & Tarbet, Exeter

WARNING TO INTENDING HOUSE PURCHASERS AND LESSEES.—Before pur- chasing or renting a house have the Sanitary Arrangements thoroughly Tested and Reported upon by an Expert from The Sanitary Engineering Co. (H. Carter, C.E., Manager), 65, Victoria-street, Westminster. Fee quoted on receipt of full particulars. Established 25 years. Telegrams, "Sanitation," London. Telephone, "No. 316 Westminster."—[ADVT.]

KEMP, A D, Coleman st, Solicitors' Clerk Aug 23 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

LEE, ROBERT ROSSING, Wisbech St Peter, Cambridge, Commission Agent Aug 24 at 12.30 Off Rec, 8, King st, Norwich

MAJOR, CHARLES, Bridgwater, Merchant Aug 23 at 11 W H Tamiya, High st, Bridgwater

MASON, ALBERT WILLIAM, Richmond Aug 29 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

MEREDITH, WILLIAM, Barnard Castle, Cycle Agent Aug 28 at 3 Off Rec, 8, Albert rd, Middleborough

MOORECROFT, GEORGE ARTHUR, Barton on Trent, Shop- keeper Aug 24 at 12.15 Midland Hotel, Station st, Burton on Trent

PARKER, FREDERICK SHEEGOLD, Southsea, Baker Aug 23 3.30 Off Rec, Cambridge junc, High st, Portsmouth

PIKE, SAMUEL WITHERELL, Southsea Aug 23 at 3 Off Rec, Cambridge junc, High st, Portsmouth

PIATTE, LEONARD WILLIAM, Barrow on Soar, Leicester, Concrete Stone Manufacturer Aug 23 at 12.30 Off Rec, 1, Berridge st, Leicester

PRIEST, FREDERICK STEWART, Harlesden, Auctioneer Aug 29 at 12 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

RACKKIND, MILLY, Gt Grimsby, Draper Aug 23 at 11 Off Rec, 15, Osborne st, Gt Grimsby

RICHLEY, NICHOLAS, Corbridge, Northumberland, Ins- keeper Aug 23 at 11.30 Off Rec, 30, Mosley st, New- castle on Tyne

ROLLIN, WALTER, Streethouse, nr Pontefract, Colliery Labourer Aug 23 at 11 Off Rec, 6, Bond terr, Wakefield

SHERR, THOMAS HAYES, Dorset sq, Upper Baker st Aug 23 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

SLATER, JOSEPH THOMAS, Dalton in Furness, Innkeeper Aug 24 at 11.15 Off Rec, 16, Cornwell st, Barrow in Furness

SHEDLEY, JOHN WILLIAM, Nottingham, Grocer Aug 23 at 12 Off Rec, 47, Full st, Derby

SPENCER, ARTHUR, Kewark upon Trent Aug 26 at 2.30 Off Rec, 4, Castle pl, Park st, Nottingham

SWANWICK, HARRY, West Bridgford, Notts, Fancy Goods Manufacturer Aug 27 at 13 County Court house, St Peter's gate, Nottingham

SWYTHIR, ARTHUR, Fenchewesbor, Fruiterer Aug 23 at 3 Off Rec, 186, High st, Mortyay Tridil

TALBOT, REGINALD, South sq, Gray's inn, Solicitor Aug 29 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

WADDINGTON, JOHN, Warley, nr Halifax, Quattman Aug 23 at 3 Off Rec, Towhall chambers, Halifax

WOLLSTON, CLARA LOUISE, High st, Borough, Mantle Maker Aug 27 at 11 Bankruptcy bldg, Carey st

ADJUDICATIONS.

ALLSO, JOHN PAYNE, Beeston, Norfolk, Publican Norwich Pet Aug 9 Ord Aug 12

AMBRIDGE, JOHN, Silco, Beds, Farmer Bedford Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 14
 BEAVAN, JOHN, Madley, Herefords, Builder Hereford Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 19
 BEDFORD, THOMAS LEAKE, Hunslet, Leeds Leeds Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 BESWICK, ROBERT, Bridlington, Joiner Scarborough Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 BONNEY, JOHN EDMOND, Aston, Birmingham, Mineral Water Manufacturer Birmingham Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 13
 BRYNAN, JOHN, BARTOWASH, Derbys, Builder Derby Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 BROOMHEAD, CHARLES Enoch, Sheffield, Scissor Manufacturer Sheffield Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 BULTITUDE, WILLIAM, Colchester, Ironmonger Colchester Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 CHAMLEY, RICHARD, Burton in Lonsdale, Yorks, Miller's Assistant Preston Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 CHISHOLM, LOUISA, Bromley by Bow, Victualler High Court Pet July 11 Ord Aug 10
 DALRY, DANIEL, Newport, Outfitter Newport, Mon Pet Aug 7 Ord Aug 14
 DRESDEN, MYER, Birmingham, Tailor Birmingham Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 14
 FOOT, GEORGE WILLIAM, Hungerford rd, Journalist High Court Pet May 6 Ord Aug 13
 FROST-FOSTER, CHARLES High Court Pet June 10 Ord Aug 13
 GILLINGHAM, WALTER ERNEST, Ravenscourt Park, Dealer in Antiquities High Court Pet April 15 Ord Aug 12
 GOSLING, WALTER, Gawthorpe, nr Ouse, Farm Labourer Dewsbury Pet Aug 12 Ord Aug 12
 HALL, JOHN, Clapham, Jobbing Builder Wandsworth Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 KING, THOMAS EDWARD, Manchester, Fruit Salesman Manchester Pet Aug 9 Ord Aug 9
 LANGDON, HENRY, Paigot, Devon, Tailor Plymouth Pet July 13 Ord Aug 14
 LEWIS, WILLIAM, Ilkeston, Derby Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 MACQUEEN, JAMES WILSON, and JOHN MACQUEEN, Old Jewry Chambers, Merchants High Court Pet June 13 Ord Aug 12
 MEREDITH, WILLIAM, Barnard Castle, Cycle Agent Stockton on Tyne Pet Aug 10 Ord Aug 10
 PARRY, OWEN, Criccieth, Builder Portmadoc Pet July 16 Pet Aug 13
 PERKIN, CHARLES, Leek, Stafford Macclesfield Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 PRIEST, FREDERICK STEWART, Harland, Auctioneer High Court Pet June 18 Ord Aug 12
 RICHLEY, NICHOLAS, Cotbridge, Northumberland, Innkeeper Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 12
 SMYTH, J.H., Bournemouth, Tobacconist Poole Pet July 17 Ord Aug 14
 STUBBERS, ARTHUR, Poekham, Dramatic Author High Court Pet May 31 Ord Aug 12
 SYDNEY, ALFRED EDWARD, Lewes Lewes Pet Aug 13 Ord Aug 13
 UNSWORTH, THOMAS, Chorley, Lancs, Grocer Bolton Pet July 17 Ord Aug 14
 WADSWORTH, WILLIAM, Baldon, York, Spinner Leeds Pet Aug 7 Ord Aug 14
 WHITMORE, CHARLES, BARTON in FURNESS, Plaster BARTON in FURNESS Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14

London Gazette.—TUESDAY, Aug 20

RECEIVING ORDERS.

ANTILL, WILLIAM, ANTON WILHELM PETERSON, WILLIAM HENRY, and ERNEST AUGUSTUS YOUNG, Camden Town, Builders High Court Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 BRADBURY, WILLIAM, Burnley, Journeyman Blacksmith Burnley Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 CAMERON, DONALD MACRAE, Birkenhead, Missionary Birkenhead Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 CARTER, ALBERT HINES, Leeds, Grocer Leeds Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 CROSFORD, WILLIAM, Dover, Hotel Proprietor Canterbury Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 CLAY, WILLIAM, Matlock Bank, Derby, Retail Beer Dealer Derby Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 DANERUM, GEORGE JOHN, Landport, Venetian Blind Maker Portsmouth Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 DUNE, CHARLES, Wendling, Norfolk, Baker Norwich Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 FARBER, MAURICE, Manchester Manchester Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 GILBERT, ALFRED, Malda vale, Sculptor High Court Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 GILLMAN, ERNESTER, Newport, Mon Newport, Mon Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 HALL, RICHARD WILLIAM, Stratford, Furniture Dealer High Court Pet June 15 Ord Aug 16
 HALPICK, A.J., London Fields, Piano Dealer High Court Pet July 25 Ord Aug 16
 HAMBLETON, GODFREY WILLIAM, York st, Portland sq High Court Pet June 27 Ord Aug 16
 HAY, JAMES SMITH, South Hampstead, China Merchant High Court Pet June 17 Ord Aug 16
 HOBBS, JOSEPH, alias WILLIAM HOBBS, Birmingham, Cab Proprietor Birmingham Pet July 26 Ord Aug 15
 HOOD, OSBOR, Knaresborough York Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 HOWDEN, LEONARD, Kensington, Silk Broker High Court Pet July 6 Ord Aug 16
 HUNT, VINCENT THOMAS, Thrupp, nr Stroud Gloucester Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 KITCHER, JULIA, Wimbledon Kingston, Surrey Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 LEESCOMB, WILLIAM, Surbiton, Laundryman Kingston, Surrey Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 MALTY, CHARLES BARNARD RICHARD, Queen st pl High Court Pet May 30 Ord Aug 14
 MORRIS, JOHN, Fwllish, Shopkeeper Portmadoc Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 MORTIMER, ERNESTER, Mellis, Suffolk, Grocer Ipswich Pet July 2 Ord Aug 15

PALMER, JOHN CHRISTOPHER, West Bromwich, Tailor West Bromwich Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 POLLOCK, JOHN HUNTER MURRAY, and ALFRED PENDLE, Birmingham, Harness Factors Birmingham Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 PRIESTLEY, FRED, Manningham, Bradford, Pawnbroker Bradford Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 ROBINSON, WILLIAM, Tonbridge, Tailor Tunbridge Wells Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 15
 SANDHAM J.C., Brighton, Lodging house Keeper Brighton Ord Aug 15
 SCUDAMORE, FRANK, Warwick st, Eccleston sq High Court Pet Feb 27 Ord Aug 15
 SEPT, ALEXANDER, Fenchurch av, Commission Agent High Court Pet July 30 Ord Aug 15
 SERBUVA, M., Jun, Fenchurch st High Court Pet June 27 Ord Aug 15
 SHAW, HAROLD ERNEST, Kingston upon Hull, Druggist Kingston upon Hull Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 STUDD, ALMON ERNEST, Gloucester sq, Hyde Park High Court Pet July 24 Ord Aug 15
 TODD, HENRY, Leeds, Grocer Leeds Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 WALSHAW, JOHN WILLIAM, Manchester High Court Pet July 18 Ord Aug 15
 WELKING, JOHN JAMES, Ilkington, Builder High Court Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 WHITAKER, WALTER HERBERT, Whitley, Somerset, Brick Manufacturer Frome Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 16
 WILCOX, JAMES HENRY, Pembroke, Labourer Pembroke Dock Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 WILDE, JOSEPH, Leeds, Coal Dealer Leeds Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 WILDING, FREDERICK JOHN, Shenfield, Essex, Builder Chesham Pet July 30 Ord Aug 14
 WILLIAMS, WILLIAM, Separyn Side, nr Landudno, Builder Bangor Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 WRIGHT, ORLANDO, York, Manufacturing Jeweller York Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 YATES, CHARLES FREDERICK, Widnes, Grocer Liverpool Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Aug 2:

TINKER, WILLIAM, Dunkinfield, Cheshire, Boiler Maker Ashton under Lyne Pet July 29 Ord July 29

FIRST MEETINGS.

ALLOWAY, FREDERICK DOUGLAS, Lincoln's inn fields, Solicitor Sept 2 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at AMBRIDGE, JOHN, Silco, Beds, Farmer Aug 28 at 12 Off Rec, Bridge st, Northampton
 ANTON, WILLIAM, ANTON WILHELM PETERSON, WILLIAM HENRY, and ERNEST AUGUSTUS YOUNG, Camden Town, Builders Aug 30 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at BEDFORD, THOMAS LEAKE, Leeds Aug 28 at 11 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 BENJAMIN, ISADORE, Spitalfields, Fur Dealer Aug 30 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at BERTON, ARTHUR HENRY, Peterborough, Fishmonger Aug 27 at 12 Off Rec, 5, Petty Cur, Cambridge
 BERNAN, JOHN, BARTOWASH, Derby, Builder Aug 27 at 11.30 Off Rec, 47, Full st, Derby
 BROOMHEAD, CHARLES Enoch, Sheffield, Scissor Manufacturer Aug 27 at 1 Off Rec, Figtree ln, Sheffield
 BROWN and FAIR, Leicester, Boot Manufacturers Aug 28 at 12.30 Off Rec, 1, Berridge st, Leicester
 BULTITUDE, WILLIAM, Colchester, Ironmonger Aug 27 at 11 Cups Hotel, Colchester
 CARTER, ALBERT HINES, Leeds, Grocer Aug 28 at 11.30 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 CHAMLEY, RICHARD, Burton in Lonsdale, York, Miller's Assistant Aug 28 at 11.45 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
 CHESBROUGH, JOHN, Batley, Butcher Aug 28 at 11.30 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
 COOKE, MATTHEW, Congleton, Builder Aug 27 at 10.45 Off Rec, 23, King Edward st, Macclesfield
 DAVEY, ALFRED FRANCIS, Plymouth, Builder Aug 28 at 11 6, Athensum ter, Plymouth
 DAWSON, SAMUEL HENRY, West Bromwich, Grocer Aug 29 at 11 174, Corporation st, Birmingham
 DERBYSHIRE, ALFRED, Bamber Bridge, nr Preston, Painter Aug 28 at 11 Off Rec, 14, Chapel st, Preston
 ELKOPD, FRANK CYRIL, Tavistock, Devon, Butcher Aug 29 at 11 6, Athensum ter, Plymouth
 EVANS, DAVID RICHARDS, Dudley, Perambulator Manufacturer Aug 27 at 11 Off Rec, Wolverhampton st, Dudley
 EVANS, EYAN DAVID, Tonypandy, Builder Aug 27 at 12 Off Rec, 185, High st, Merthyr Tydfil
 FERNIE, FRANK, Luton, Hatter Aug 28 at 11.30 Off Rec, Bridge st, Northampton
 FORBES, TOM, Rotherham, Farmer Aug 27 at 12 Off Rec, Figtree ln, Sheffield
 GILBERT, ALFRED, Malda vale, Sculptor Aug 29 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at GOSLING, WALTER, Gawthorpe, nr Ouse, Farm Labourer Aug 29 at 11.30 Off Rec, Bank chmbrs, Batley
 HALL, JOHN, Clapham, Jobbing Builder Aug 27 at 11.30 24, Railway app, London Bridge
 HAMBLETON, GODFREY WILLIAM, York st, Portman sq Sept 4 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at HAY, JAMES SMITH, Hampstead, China Merchant Sept 2 at 1.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at HILL, EMILY, Birmingham, Cycle Manufacturer Aug 28 at 11 174, Corporation st, Birmingham
 HOOD, OSBOR, Knaresborough Aug 27 at 11.30 Off Rec, 22, Stagsgate, York
 HOWDEN, LEONARD, Kensington, Silk Broker Sept 4 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at KINGSTON, THOMAS JAMES, Brownhills, Staffs, Boot Dealer Aug 28 at 12 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
 LEE, H.J., Franks st, Tottenham Court rd Aug 30 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at MALTY, CHARLES BARNARD RICHARD, Queen st pl Sept 5 at 2.30 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at MITCHELL-JONES, ARCHIBALD CAMPBELL, Cornhill Sept 2 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at

MORTIMER, ERNESTER, Mellis, Suffolk, Grocer Aug 27 at 3.30 Off Rec, 36, Princes st, Ipswich
 PALMER, JOHN, Broom hill, Sheffield, Licensed Victualler Aug 27 at 12.30 Off Rec, Figtree ln, Sheffield
 PRIDEAUX, H. SYMES, Harley st Sept 2 at 12 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at PRIESTLEY, FRED, Manningham, Bradford, Pawnbroker Aug 28 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford
 RADCLIFFE, WILLIAM MAJOR, Ashton under Lyne Aug 28 at 3 Off Rec, Byrom st, Manchester
 RUDGE, HARRY GEORGE, Codsall, Stafford, Cycle Builder Aug 28 at 12.30 Off Rec, Wolverhampton
 TODD, HENRY, Leeds, Grocer Aug 28 at 12 Off Rec, 22, Park row, Leeds
 WALLBAUM, S. & SONS, Bradford, Woollen Merchants Aug 28 at 11 Off Rec, 31, Manor row, Bradford
 WELLSLEY, RICHARD VICTOR, Shepherd's Bush, Builder Aug 30 at 11 Bankruptcy bldgs, Carey at WRIGHT, ORLANDO, York, Manufacturing Jeweller Aug 30 at 12.15 Off Rec, 25, Stonegate, York

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Aug 16:

BAKER, FREDERICK SHERBOLD, Southsea, Hants, Baker Aug 28 at 2.30 Off Rec, Cambridge junc, High st, Portsmouth

ADJUDICATIONS.

ABRAHAM, ERNEST GOLDENID, Old Burlington st, Company Promoter High Court Ord Aug 16
 BRADBURY, WILLIAM, Burnley, Journeyman Blacksmith Burnley Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 CAMERON, DONALD MACRAE, Birkenhead, Missionary Birkenhead Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 CARTER, ALBERT HINES, Leeds, Grocer Leeds Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 CROSFORD, WILLIAM, Dover, Hotel Proprietor Canterbury Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 CLAY, WILLIAM, Matlock Bank, Derby, Retail Beer Dealer Derby Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 DANERUM, GEORGE JOHN, Landport, Venetian Blind Maker Portsmouth Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 DUNE, CHARLES, Wendling, Norfolk, Baker Norwich Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 ELCOCK, ROBERT, Wimborne Minster, Auctioneer Poole Pet May 30 Ord Aug 16
 FARBER, MAURICE, Manchester Manchester Pet Aug 14 Ord Aug 14
 FERNIE, FRANK, Luton, Hatter Luton Pet July 25 Ord Aug 15
 GILLMAN, ERNESTER, Newport Newport, Mon Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 17
 HOOD, OSBOR, Knaresborough York Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 HUNT, VINCENT THOMAS, Thrupp, nr Stroud Gloucester Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 JEFFERSON, JOHN, Worthing, Fruiterer Brighton Pet Feb 15 Ord Aug 16
 KITCHER, JULIA, Wimbledon Kingston, Surrey Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 MASON, ALFRED WILLIAM, Victoria st High Court Pet July 15 Ord Aug 16
 MORRIS, JOHN, Fwllish, Shopkeeper Portmadoc Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 NASH, WILLIAM, Barbican High Court Pet May 8 Ord Aug 17
 PALMER, JOHN CHRISTOPHER, West Bromwich, Tailor West Bromwich Pet Aug 17 Ord Aug 17
 PIKE, SAMUEL WITHERELL, Southsea Portsmouth Pet July 19 Ord Aug 15
 PRIESTLEY, FRED, Manningham, Bradford, Pawnbroker Bradford Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 16
 ROBINSON, GEORGE, Ings, nr Matfen, Builder Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 17
 ROBINSON, MATTHEW, Ings, nr Matfen, Blacksmith Newcastle on Tyne Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 17
 SHAW, HAROLD ERNEST, Kingston upon Hull, Druggist Kingston upon Hull Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 SMITH, WILLIAM, Walsall, Tanner Walsall Pet July 21 Ord Aug 15
 TODD, HENRY, Leeds, Grocer Leeds Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 WALLBAUM, SAMUEL, Bradford, Woollen Merchant Bradford Pet Aug 1 Ord Aug 16
 WHITAKER, WALTER HERBERT, Wansford, Somerset, Brick Manufacturer Frome Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 WILCOX, JAMES HENRY, Pembroke, Labourer Pembroke Dock Pet Aug 15 Ord Aug 15
 WILDE, JOSEPH, Leeds, Coal Dealer Leeds Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 WRIGHT, ORLANDO, York, Manufacturing Jeweller York Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16
 YATES, CHARLES FREDERICK, Widnes, Grocer Liverpool Pet Aug 16 Ord Aug 16

Amended notice substituted for that published in the London Gazette of Aug 2:

TINKER, WILLIAM, Dunkinfield, Cheshire, Boilermaker Ashton under Lyne Pet July 29 Ord July 29

All letters intended for publication in the "Solicitors' Journal" must be authenticated by the name of the writer.

Subscription, PAYABLE IN ADVANCE, which includes Indexes, Digests, Statutes, and Postage, 52s. WEEKLY REPORTER, in wrapper, 26s.; by Post, 28s. SOLICITORS' JOURNAL, 26s.; by Post, 28s. Volumes bound at the office—cloth, 2s. 9d., half law calf, 5s. 6d.

Where difficulty is experienced in procuring the Journal with regularity, it is requested that application be made direct to the Publisher.